



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/526,622 | 03/16/2000 | Reinhard H. Hohensee | BLD9-1999-0018US1 | 1965 |
| 23334 | 7590 03/25/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI | | | SHERKAT, AREZOO | |
| & BIANCO P ONE BOCA (| '.L. COMMERCE CENTER | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 | | | 2131 | |
| BOCA RATO | BOCA RATON, FL 33487 | | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 4C | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/526,622 | HOHENSEE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Arezoo Sherkat | 2131 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar | ·— | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20,22 and 24-28 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20,22 and 24-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ton is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Trademot Office. | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-16, 21, and 23 have been cancelled.

Claims 17-21, 22, and 24-28 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-20, 22, and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazzagatte et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,862,583 and Mazzagatte hereinafter), in view of Adamske et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,615,234 and Adamske hereinafter).

Regarding claim 17, Mazzagatte discloses a method for retrieving a document and for transmitting back, the identifying data of a party accessing or making a copy of a document transmitted through a two way telecommunications system comprising the steps of:

transmitting a document from a systems device through a two way communications system to an address of a presentation device (Col. 7, lines 35-67 and Col. 7, lines 1-67);

Art Unit: 2131

storing said document in said presentation device (Col. 9, lines 6-35);

as a first step in accessing a copy of said document, inputting at said presentation device, identifying data indicative of the identification of a party accessing the document (Col. 9, lines 35-67 and Col. 10, lines 1-25);

as a second step in accessing a copy of said document, without authentication and directly responsive to receiving said identifying data, producing a print command, and as a third step, producing a copy of said document, in direct response to said print command (Col. 10, lines 25-67 and Col. 11, lines 1-40).

Mazzagatte does not expressly disclose producing a record of said me identifying data used to produce said print command, and transmitting said record.

However, Adamske discloses producing a record of said identifying data used to produce said print command, and transmitting said record (Col. 4, lines 30-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the document retrieval system of Mazzagatte by including producing a record of the identifying data used to produce the print command, and transmitting said record as disclosed by Adamske. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Adamske to provide for record keeping and accountability.

Regarding claim 18, Mazzagatte discloses wherein said identifying data is in a predetermined format and said step of producing said print command includes the step

Art Unit: 2131

of producing said print command in direct response to receiving said identifying data in said predetermined format (Col. 8, lines 20-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 19, Mazzagatte discloses wherein said predetermined format is a Vcard format (i.e., smart card)(Col. 8, lines 20-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 20, Mazzagatte does not expressly disclose the step of producing and transmitting a record of at least one of a time, a date, and a location of access to data.

However, Adamske discloses wherein the step of producing and transmitting a record of at least one of a time, a date, and a location of access to data(Col. 4, lines 30-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the document retrieval system of Mazzagatte by including the step of producing and transmitting a record of at least one of a time, a date, and a location of access to data as disclosed by Adamske. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Adamske to provide for record keeping and accountability.

Regarding claim 22, Mazzagatte discloses a computer readable media comprising programming instructions for performing the method of retrieving a

Art Unit: 2131

document and for transmitting back, the identifying data of a party accessing or making a copy of a document transmitted through a two-way telecommunications system, the computer readable media comprising the programming instructions of:

transmitting a document from a system through a two way communications system to an address of a presentation device (Col. 7, lines 35-67 and Col. 7, lines 1-67);

storing said document in said presentation device (Col. 9, lines 6-35);
as a first step in accessing a copy of said document, inputting at said
presentation device, identifying data indicative of the identification of a party accessing
the document (Col. 9, lines 35-67 and Col. 10, lines 1-25);

as a second step in accessing a copy of said document, without authentication and directly responsive to receiving said identifying data, producing a print command, and as a third step, producing a copy of said document, in direct response to said print command (Col. 10, lines 25-67 and Col. 11, lines 1-40).

Mazzagatte does not expressly disclose producing a record of said identifying data used to produce said print command, and transmitting said record.

However, Adamske discloses producing a record of said identifying data used to produce said print command, and transmitting said record (Col. 4, lines 30-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the document retrieval system of Mazzagatte by including producing a record of the identifying data used to produce the print command, and transmitting said record as disclosed by Adamske. This modification

Art Unit: 2131

would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Adamske to provide for record keeping and accountability.

Regarding claim 24, Mazzagatte discloses a device for receiving, processing, and accountable out put of print job, the device comprising:

an address (i.e., an image forming device in the network does have an address associated with it)(Col. 4, lines 35-49);

a receiver for receiving a file from a remote system sent to the address (Col. 8, lines 62-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-7);

an input for entering identification information of a user receiving the file from the receiver, and an output for sending the file to an output device as a direct response to the entry of the identification data and without authentication (Col. 9, lines 40-67 and Col. 10, lines 1-40).

Mazzagatte does not expressly disclose a transmitter for sending a notification of the output of the file to the output device and the identification information of the user to at least one designated party.

However, Adamske discloses a transmitter for sending a notification of the output of the file to the output device and the identification information of the user to at least one designated party (Col. 4, lines 30-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the document retrieval system of Mazzagatte

Art Unit: 2131

by including a transmitter for sending a notification of the output of the file to the output device and the identification information of the user to at least one designated party as disclosed by Adamske. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Adamske to provide for record keeping and accountability.

Regarding claim 25, Mazzagatte discloses wherein at least one designated party is a party sending the file (i.e., the print job) to the address of the device from the remote system (Col. 8, lines 20-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 26, Mazzagatte discloses wherein the file comprises data identifying the at least one designated party (i.e., unique identification information of the intended recipient)(Col. 8, lines 20-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 27, Mazzagatte does not expressly disclose wherein the notification includes at least one of a date the file is sent to the output device, a time the file is sent to the output device, and a location of the output device where the file is sent.

However, Adamske discloses wherein the notification includes the date, time, signatory, and other information to confirm the hard copy documents were delivered or picked up (Col. 4, lines 30-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the document retrieval system of Mazzagatte

Art Unit: 2131

by including the notification includes the date, time, signatory, and other information to confirm the hard copy documents were delivered or picked up as disclosed by Adamske. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Adamske to provide for record keeping and accountability.

Regarding claim 28, Mazzagatte discloses wherein the input is at least one of a smart card reader, an ibutton reader, radio frequency ID reader, and a java ring reader (Col. 9, lines 40-67 and Col. 10, lines 1-40).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nezu, (U.S. Patent No. 5,638,511).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (571) 272-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AYAZ SHEIKH

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Arezoo Sherkat Patent Examiner

Group 2131

March 17, 2005